

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION I	٧٥.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,161		06/14/2005	Thomas Gradel	190-90	2686
2746	7590	08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
	M H. EII		WOODALL, N	WOODALL, NICHOLAS W	
THREE BALA PLAZA SUITE 501 WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004			3733		
				DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/539,161	GRADEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nicholas Woodall	3733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 11,12 and 14 is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 11,12 and 14 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 15-20</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 13 and 15-20 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
_ : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	o.					
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	(DTO 442)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ate						
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/14/2005. 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/539,161

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. If applicant desires to claim the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 120, a specific reference to the prior-filed application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) must be included in the first sentence(s) of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications.

If the instant application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable

Application/Control Number: 10/539,161

Page 3

Art Unit: 3733

petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 16 lines 23-25 of the specification the applicant calls reference number 122c the lower portion of the jumper and 222c the upper portion of the jumper, and the drawings show

Application/Control Number: 10/539,161 Page 4

Art Unit: 3733

reference number 122c as the upper portion and reference number 222c as the lower portion. The examiner recommends changing the specification to match the drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brace (U.S. Patent 6,187,005) in view of Hegde (U.S. Publication 2004/0162558).

Brace discloses the invention as claimed except for the interior surface of the sliding connecting piece comprises two points opposite the receiving means that are parallel and offset in the direction perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the sliding connection piece. Hegde teaches a spinal plate that comprises two points on the interior surface of the piece opposite the receiving means that are parallel and offset in the direction perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the spinal plate in order to engage the spinal plate to bone (page 5 paragraphs 53 and 54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the sliding connecting piece of Brace with two points on the interior surface of the piece opposite the receiving means that are parallel and offset in the direction perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the sliding connecting piece in view of Hegde to engage the sliding connecting piece to bone.

Art Unit: 3733

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Brace and Hegde discloses the invention as claimed except for the points being generally triangular structures in a plane perpendicular to the direction of the transverse axis. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the points as a generally triangular flat structure in a plane perpendicular to the direction of the transverse axis, since applicant has not disclosed that such solve any stated problem or is anything more than one of numerous shapes or configurations a person ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for the purpose of providing a forming edge in the heating portion or clamp. In re Dailey and Eilers, 149 USPQ 47 (1966).

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brace (U.S. Patent 6,187,005) in view of Hegde (U.S. Publication 2004/0162558) further in view of Schafer (U.S. Patent 5,662,652).

The combination of Brace and Hegde disclose the invention as claimed except for the interior face of the sliding connecting piece being concave and substantially cylindrical with a circular profile. Schafer teaches a bone plate that has a concave interior surface that is substantially cylindrical with a circular profile in order to create better contact between the bone plate and the bone surface (column 8 lines 5-11). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture the sliding connecting piece modified by Hegde with a concave interior surface that is substantially cylindrical with a circular profile in view of Schafer to create better contact between the bone plate and the bone surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,161 Page 6

Art Unit: 3733

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 13 and 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 for cited art the examiner felt was relevant to the application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Woodall whose telephone number is 571-272-5204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 to 5:30 EST...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Application/Control Number: 10/539,161

Art Unit: 3733

Page 7

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NWW

EDUARDO C ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER